pp. X-XX

HÖLDER CONTINUITY OF SOLUTIONS TO THE G-LAPLACE EQUATION INVOLVING MEASURES

JUN ZHENG, YAN ZHANG

School of Mathematics, Southwest Jiaotong University, Chengdu, Sichuan 611756, China

ABSTRACT. We establish regularity of solutions to the G-Laplace equation $-\text{div}\left(\frac{g(|\nabla u|)}{|\nabla u|}\nabla u\right) = \mu$, where μ is a nonnegative Radon measure satisfying $\mu(B_r(x_0)) \leq Cr^m$ for any ball $B_r(x_0) \subset \Omega$ with $r \leq 1$ and $m > n-1-\delta \geq 0$. The function g(t) is supposed to be nonnegative and C^1 -continuous in $[0,+\infty)$, satisfying g(0)=0, and for some positive constants δ and g_0 , $\delta \leq \frac{tg'(t)}{g(t)} \leq g_0, \forall t>0$, that generalizes the structural conditions of Ladyzhenskaya-Ural'tseva for an elliptic operator.

1. Introduction.

Let Ω be an open bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 2)$, and μ a nonnegative Radon measure in Ω with $\mu(B_r(x_0)) \leq Cr^m$ for some constant C > 0 whenever $B_r(x_0) \subset \subset \Omega$. We consider the equation

$$-\Delta_G u = -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{g(|\nabla u|)}{|\nabla u|}\nabla u\right) = \mu \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega),\tag{1}$$

where $G(t) = \int_0^t g(s) ds$, g(t) is a nonnegative C^1 function in $[0, +\infty)$, satisfying g(0) = 0 and the following structural condition

$$0 < \delta \le \frac{tg'(t)}{g(t)} \le g_0, \quad \forall \ t > 0, \ \delta, g_0 \text{ are positive constants.}$$
 (2)

The structural conditions on g was introduced by Lieberman in 1991, which is a natural generalization of the natural conditions of Ladyzhenskaya and Ural'tseva for elliptic equations (see [10]). The conditions of g imply that the operator Δ_G includes not only the p-Laplace operator Δ_p where $g(t) = t^{p-1}$ and $\delta = g_0 = p-1$, but also the case of a variable exponent p = p(t) > 0:

$$-\Delta_G u = -\text{div } (|\nabla u|^{p(|\nabla u|) - 2} \nabla u),$$

- 5 corresponding to set $g(t) = t^{p(t)-1}$, for which (2) holds if $\delta \leq t(\ln t)p'(t) + p(t) 1$
- $\leq g_0$ for all t>0. Another typical example of g is $g(t)=t^p\log(at+b)$ with p,a,b>0
- 7 where in this case $\delta = p$ and $g_0 = p + 1$. Many other examples can be found in
- |2, 3, 6| etc.

Under assumption (2), G is an increasing C^2 convex function, which is an Nfunction satisfying the so called Δ_2 -condition. Thus our class of operators will be

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 35J60, 35B65; Secondary: 35J70, 35J75. Key words and phrases. G-Laplacian, Radon measure, regularity, Hölder continuity.

considered in the setting of Orlicz spaces. We recall the definitions of Orlicz and Orlicz-Sobolev spaces together with their respective norms (see [1])

$$L^{G}(\Omega) = \{ u \in L^{1}(\Omega); \int_{\Omega} G(|u(x)|) dx < +\infty \},$$

$$\|u\|_{L^{G}(\Omega)} = \inf \left\{ k > 0; \int_{\Omega} G\left(\frac{|u(x)|}{k}\right) dx \le 1 \right\},$$

$$W^{1,G}(\Omega) = \{ u \in L^{G}(\Omega); \nabla u \in L^{G}(\Omega) \},$$

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,G}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{L^{G}(\Omega)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^{G}(\Omega)}.$$

Under the assumption (2), $W^{1,G}(\Omega)$ is a reflexive and separable Banach space (see [1]).

We shall call a solution of (1) any function $u \in W_{loc}^{1,G}(\Omega)$ that satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{g(|\nabla u|)}{|\nabla u|} \nabla u \cdot \varphi dx = \int_{\Omega} \varphi d\mu \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega).$$

If $\mu \equiv 0$ in a domain $D \subset \Omega$, we say that u is G-harmonic in D.

We now introduce the regularity of the related elliptic equations involving measures. In 1994, Kilpeläinen considered the situation of the p-Laplace operator and proved that if μ satisfies $\mu(B_r) \leq Cr^{n-p+\alpha(p-1)}$ for some positive constants C and $\alpha \in (0,1]$, then any solution of the p-Laplace equation

$$-\Delta_p u = -\text{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right) = \mu,\tag{3}$$

is $C_{lco}^{0,\beta}$ —continuous for each $\beta \in (0,\alpha)$ (see [7]). This result was improved by Kilpeläinen and Zhong in 2002, showing that if each solution of (3) is in fact Hölder continuous with the same exponent α as the one in the assumption $\mu(B_r) \leq Cr^{n-p+\alpha(p-1)}$ (see [8]). In 2010, the p-Laplace problem (3) was extended by Lyaghfouri to the case with variable exponents, i.e., considering

$$-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u) = \mu. \tag{4}$$

Under certain assumptions on the function p(x) and the assumption $\mu(B_r) \leq Cr^{n-p(x)+\alpha(p(x)-1)}$ for some positive constants C and $\alpha \in (0,1]$, the author proved that any boundeded solution of (4) is $C_{loc}^{0,\alpha}$ —continuous with the same exponent α (see [11]).

When focusing on the problem governed by G-Laplacian, if $\mu(B_r(x_0)) \leq Cr^m$ with $m \in [n-1,n)$, Challal and Lyaghfouri proved that any solution of (1) is $C_{loc}^{0,\alpha}$ -continous with $\alpha = \frac{m-n+1+\delta}{1+g_0}$ (see [3]). Particularly, if m=n-1, then any solution is $C_{loc}^{0,\alpha}$ -continuous for any $\alpha \in (0, \frac{\delta}{g_0})$ (see Theorem 3.3 in [3]). In 2011, these regularities were improved by Challal and Lyaghfouri in [5], showing that any local bounded solution of (1) is $C_{loc}^{0,\alpha}$ -continuous for any $\alpha \in (0, \frac{m-n+1+\delta}{g_0})$ provided that $m > n-1-\delta$. Note that under the assumption of non-decreasing monotonicity on $\frac{g(t)}{t}$, Zheng, Feng and Zhang obtained local $C^{1,\alpha}$ -continuity of solutions for m > n and local Hölder continuity with small exponents for some m < n in 2015 (see [14]).

In this paper, we continue the work of Challal, Lyaghfouri and Zheng et al. by improving the regularity of solutions of the equation (1). Particularly, we can prove the $C_{loc}^{0,\alpha}$ -continuity of solutions for any $\alpha \in (0,1)$ if m=n-1. More precisely, for any $m>n-1-\delta$ and without any monotonicity assumption on $\frac{g(t)}{t}$, we have the following result.

Theorem 1.1. Assume that μ satisfies (1) with $m > n - 1 - \delta \ge 0$. Then we have

- (i) If m > n, then $u \in C^{1,\alpha}_{loc}(\Omega)$ for any $\alpha \in (0, \min\{\frac{\sigma}{1+g_0}, \frac{m-n}{2(1+g_0)}\})$, where σ is the same as in Lamma 2.4.
- (ii) If $m \in [n-1,n)$, then $u \in C_{loc}^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)$ for any $\alpha \in (0,1)$.
- (ii) If $n-1-\delta < m < n-1$, then $u \in C^{0,\alpha}_{loc}(\Omega)$ for any $\alpha \in (0, \frac{m-n+1+\delta}{\delta})$.

Remark 1. In [7], the author proved for the p-Laplacin problem that $u \in C^{0,\alpha}_{loc}(\Omega)$ for any $\alpha \in (0,1)$ provided m=n-1. In this paper we not only improve the results of [3, 5] and [14], but also extend the problem in [7] to general equations which

governed by a large class of degenerate and singular elliptic operators.

10 11 12

13

2. Preliminary.

In this section, we state some auxiliary results which will be used throughout 14 this paper. We begin with some properties of the function G.

Lemma 2.1 ([13, Lemma 2.1, Remark 2.1]). Function G has the following proper-17

 (G_1) G is convex and C^2 .

 $(G_{2}) \frac{tg(t)}{1+g_{0}} \leq G(t) \leq tg(t), \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$ $(G_{3}) \min\{s^{\delta+1}, s^{g_{0}+1}\} \frac{G(t)}{1+g_{0}} \leq G(st) \leq (1+g_{0}) \max\{s^{\delta+1}, s^{g_{0}+1}\} G(t).$ $(G_{4}) G(a+b) \leq 2^{g_{0}} (1+g_{0}) (G(a)+G(b)) \quad \text{for all } a,b > 0.$

For much more properties of G and problems governed by the operator Δ_G , 22 please see [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 13, 14, 15, 16] etc. 23

The following lemmas are some properties of G-harmonic functions. Throughout this paper, without special states, by B_R and B_r we denote the balls contained in Ω with the same center. Moreover, $B_r \subset\subset B_R \subset\subset \Omega$.

Lemma 2.2 ([13, Theorem 2.3]). Assume $u \in W^{1,G}(\Omega)$. Let h be a weak solution

$$\Delta_G h = 0$$
 in B_R , $h - u \in W_0^{1,G}(B_R)$,

then

$$\begin{split} \int_{B_R} (G(|\nabla u|) - G(|\nabla h|)) \, dx &\geq C \bigg(\int_{A_2} G(|\nabla u - \nabla h|) \, dx \\ &+ \int_{A_1} \frac{g(|\nabla u|)}{|\nabla u|} |\nabla u - \nabla h|^2 \, dx \bigg), \end{split}$$

where $A_1 = \{x \in B_R; |\nabla u - \nabla h| \le 2|\nabla u|\}, A_2 = \{x \in B_R; |\nabla u - \nabla h| > 2|\nabla u|\}$ and $C = C(\delta, g_0) > 0.$

Lemma 2.3 ([13, Lemma 2.7]). Let $h \in W^{1,G}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution of $\Delta_G h = 0$. Then $h \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$. Moreover, there exists $C = C(n, \delta, g_0) > 0$ such that for every ball $B_r \subset\subset \Omega$ and every $\lambda \in (0,n)$, there exists $C = C(\lambda,n,\delta,g_0,\|h\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\frac{2}{8}r}(x_0))}) > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B_{-}} G(|\nabla h|) dx \le Cr^{\lambda}.$$

Let $(u)_r = \frac{1}{|B_r|} \int_{B_r} u dx$ be the average value of u on the ball B_r , we have

Lemma 2.4 (Comparison with G-harmonic functions [14, Lemma 3.1]). Assume $u \in W^{1,G}(B_R)$. Let $h \in W^{1,G}(B_R)$ be a weak solution of $\Delta_G h = 0$ in B_R . Then there exists $\sigma \in (0,1)$ and $C = C(n,\delta,g_0) > 0$ such that for each $0 < r \le R$, there holds

$$\int_{B_r}\!\!G(|\nabla u - (\nabla u)_r|)\,dx \leq C\bigg(\frac{r}{R}\bigg)^{n+\sigma}\!\!\int_{B_R}\!\!G(|\nabla u - (\nabla u)_R|)\,dx + C\!\!\int_{B_R}\!\!G(|\nabla u - \nabla h|)\,dx.$$

Lemma 2.5 ([9, Lemma 2.7]). Let $\phi(s)$ be a non-negative and non-decreasing function. Suppose that

$$\phi(r) \le C_1 \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{\alpha} \phi(R) + C_1 R^{\beta},$$

for all $r \leq R \leq R_0$, with α, β and C_1 positive constants. Then, for any $\tau < \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, there exists a constant $C_2 = C_2(C_1, \alpha, \beta, \tau)$ such that for all $r \leq R \leq R_0$ we have

$$\phi(r) \le C_2 r^{\tau}.$$

- 1 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1.
- **Lemma 3.1.** Assume $u \in W^{1,G}(\Omega)$. Let $B_R \subset\subset \Omega$ and $h \in W^{1,G}(B_R)$ be a weak
- 3 solution of

$$\Delta_G h = 0$$
 in B_R , $h - u \in W_0^{1,G}(B_R)$.

Then for any $\lambda \in (0,n)$, there exists $C = C(\lambda, n, \delta, g_0, ||u||_{L^{\infty}(B_{2R/3})}) > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B_R} G(|\nabla u - \nabla h|) dx \le CR^m + CR^{\frac{m+\lambda}{2}},$$

4 where λ is the same as in Lemma 2.3.

Proof. Firstly, convexity of G gives

$$\int_{B_R} (G(|\nabla u|) - G(|\nabla h|)) dx \leq \int_{B_R} \frac{g(|\nabla u|)}{|\nabla u|} \nabla u (\nabla u - \nabla h) dx$$

$$= \int_{B_R} (u - h) d\mu$$

$$\leq C \mu(B_R)$$

$$\leq C R^m, \tag{6}$$

- where we used the boundedness of u which forces h to be bounded too.
- Let be A_1 and A_2 be defined as in Lemma 2.2. By Lemma 2.2, there exists a
- 7 constant $C = C(\delta, g_0) > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B_{R}} (G(|\nabla u|) - G(|\nabla h|)) dx \ge C \int_{A_{2}} G(|\nabla u - \nabla h|) dx \tag{7}$$

8 and

$$\int_{B_R} (G(|\nabla u|) - G(|\nabla h|)) dx \ge C \int_{A_1} \frac{g(|\nabla u|)}{|\nabla u|} |\nabla u - \nabla h|^2 dx.$$
 (8)

By (G_2) , $\frac{G(t)}{t}$ is increasing in t > 0. It follows from (G_2) , (G_3) , (6), (8) and Lemma 2.2 that

$$\begin{split} \int_{A_{1}} &G(|\nabla u - \nabla h|) \mathrm{d}x = \int_{A_{1}} \frac{G(|\nabla u - \nabla h|)}{|\nabla u - \nabla h|} (|\nabla u - \nabla h|) \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \int_{A_{1}} \frac{G(2|\nabla u|)}{2|\nabla u|} |\nabla u - \nabla h| \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq C \int_{A_{1}} \frac{G(|\nabla u|)}{|\nabla u|} |\nabla u - \nabla h| \mathrm{d}x \\ &= C \int_{A_{1}} \frac{\sqrt{G(|\nabla u|)}}{|\nabla u|} |\nabla u - \nabla h| \cdot \sqrt{G(|\nabla u|)} \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{A_{1}} \frac{G(|\nabla u|)}{|\nabla u|^{2}} |\nabla u - \nabla h|^{2} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{A_{1}} G(|\nabla u|) \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{A_{1}} \frac{g(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|}{|\nabla u|^{2}} |\nabla u - \nabla h|^{2} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{A_{1}} G(|\nabla u|) \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C \left(\int_{A_{1}} \frac{g(|\nabla u|)|}{|\nabla u|} |\nabla u - \nabla h|^{2} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{B_{R}} G(|\nabla u|) \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{B_{R}} (G(|\nabla u|) - G(|\nabla h|)) \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{B_{R}} G(|\nabla u|) \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C \left(\int_{B_{R}} (G(|\nabla u|) - G(|\nabla h|)) \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \int_{B_{R}} (G(|\nabla u|) - G(|\nabla h|)) \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \int_{B_{R}} (G(|\nabla u|) - G(|\nabla h|)) \mathrm{d}x \\ &+ C \left(\int_{B_{R}} (G(|\nabla u|) - G(|\nabla h|)) \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{B_{R}} G(|\nabla h|) \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ &\leq C R^{m} + C R^{\frac{m+\lambda}{2}}. \end{split}$$

where in the last inequality but one we used $(a+b)^{\gamma} \leq a^{\gamma} + b^{\gamma}$ for any $a \geq 0, b \geq 0$ and $\gamma \in (0,1)$. By (7) and (9), we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{B_R} &G(|\nabla u - \nabla h|) \mathrm{d}x = \int_{A_2} &G(|\nabla u - \nabla h|) \mathrm{d}x + \int_{A_1} &G(|\nabla u - \nabla h|) \mathrm{d}x \\ & \leq C \int_{B_R} &(G(|\nabla u|) - G(|\nabla h|)) \mathrm{d}x + CR^m + CR^{\frac{m+\lambda}{2}} \\ & \leq CR^m + CR^{\frac{m+\lambda}{2}}. \end{split}$$

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let h be a G-harmonic function in B_R that agrees with u on the boundary, i.e.,

 $\operatorname{div} \frac{g(|\nabla h|)}{|\nabla h|} \nabla h = 0 \text{ in } B_R \quad \text{and} \quad h - u \in W_0^{1,G}(B_R).$

 \Box

By Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 3.1, for any $r \leq R$ there holds

$$\begin{split} &\int_{B_r} G(|\nabla u - (\nabla u)_r|) \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq C \bigg(\frac{r}{R}\bigg)^{n+\sigma} \!\!\! \int_{B_R} G(|\nabla u - (\nabla u)_R|) \mathrm{d}x + C \!\!\! \int_{B_R} \!\!\! G(|\nabla u - \nabla h|) \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq C \bigg(\frac{r}{R}\bigg)^{n+\sigma} \!\!\! \int_{B_R} \!\!\! G(|\nabla u - (\nabla u)_R|) \mathrm{d}x + CR^m + CR^{\frac{m+\lambda}{2}}, \end{split}$$

where λ is an arbitrary constant in (0, n).

(i) If m > n, then we have

$$\int_{B_r}\!\!G(|\nabla u - (\nabla u)_r|)\mathrm{d}x \leq C\bigg(\frac{r}{R}\bigg)^{n+\sigma}\!\!\int_{B_R}\!\!G(|\nabla u - (\nabla u)_R|)\mathrm{d}x + CR^{\frac{m+\lambda}{2}}.$$

Since m > n and λ is an arbitrary constant in (0, n), one may have choose λ satisfying $\frac{m+\lambda}{2} > n$. In view of Lemma 2.5, we conclude that for any $\tau < \min\{\sigma, \frac{m+\lambda}{2} - n\}$ there holds

$$\int_{B_r} G(|\nabla u - (\nabla u)_r|) dx \le Cr^{n+\tau}, \quad \forall \ r \le R.$$
 (10)

Now we claim that

$$\int_{B_r} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_r| \mathrm{d}x \le C r^{n + \frac{\tau}{1 + g_0}}, \quad \forall \ r \le R.$$
 (11)

Indeed, for r satisfying $r^{-n}\int_{B_r}|\nabla u-(\nabla u)_r|\mathrm{d}x\leq r^{\frac{\tau}{1+g_0}},$ (11) holds with C=1.Now for r satisfying $r^{-n}\int_{B_r}|\nabla u-(\nabla u)_r|\mathrm{d}x>r^{\frac{\tau}{1+g_0}},$ we infer from the increasing monotonicity of $\frac{G(t)}{t}$ in t > 0,

$$\frac{G\left(r^{-n}\int_{B_r}|\nabla u - (\nabla u)_r|\mathrm{d}x\right)}{r^{-n}\int_{B_r}|\nabla u - (\nabla u)_r|\mathrm{d}x} \ge \frac{G\left(r^{\frac{\tau}{1+g_0}}\right)}{r^{\frac{\tau}{1+g_0}}}.$$

It follows from (G_2) and (G_3)

$$\int_{B_r} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_r| dx \leq \frac{r^{n + \frac{\tau}{1 + g_0}}}{G\left(r^{\frac{\tau}{1 + g_0}}\right)} G\left(r^{-n} \int_{B_r} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_r| dx\right)
\leq \frac{Cr^{n + \frac{\tau}{1 + g_0}}}{r^{\tau} G(1)} G\left(r^{-n} \int_{B_r} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_r| dx\right)
\leq \frac{Cr^{n + \frac{\tau}{1 + g_0}}}{r^{\tau} g(1)} G\left(r^{-n} \int_{B_r} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_r| dx\right).$$
(12)

Note that convexity of G and (10) implies that

$$G\left(\frac{1}{|B_r|}\int_{B_r} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_r| dx\right) \le \frac{1}{|B_r|}\int_{B_r} G(|\nabla u - (\nabla u)_r|) dx \le Cr^{\tau}.$$
 (13)

By (G_3) , (12) and (13), one may get

$$\int_{B_{-}} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_{r}| dx \le Cr^{n + \frac{\tau}{1 + g_{0}}},$$

- where C depends only on $g(1), g_0$ and the volume of the unit ball. Now we have proven that (11) holds for any $r \leq R$. Thus $u \in C^{1,\frac{1}{1+g_0}}_{loc}(\Omega)$ by Campanato's

- embedding Theorem. Due to the arbitrary of $\lambda \in (0,n)$, we can conclude (i) of
- Theorem 1.1 by letting $\lambda \to n$.
 - (ii) If $m \in [n-1, n]$, we only prove for m = n-1 due to the fact $\mu(B_r) \leq Cr^m \leq Cr^{n-1}$ with small r. By (G_4) , Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 3.1, we infer

$$\begin{split} \int_{B_r} G(|\nabla u|) \mathrm{d}x &\leq C \int_{B_r} G(|\nabla u - \nabla h|) \mathrm{d}x + C \int_{B_r} G(|\nabla h|) \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq C r^m + C r^{\frac{m+\lambda}{2}} + C r^{\lambda} \\ &\leq C r^m, \end{split}$$

where in the last inequality we let $n > \lambda > n - 1 = m$.

We claim that for any $r \leq R < 1$ with $B_R \subset\subset \Omega$ and some positive constant C independent of r, there holds

$$\int_{B_r} |\nabla u| \mathrm{d}x \le Cr^{n-1+\alpha_0},\tag{14}$$

with some $\alpha_0 \in (0,1)$.

Indeed, for $r \leq R$ satisfying

$$r^{-n+1-\alpha_0} \int_{B_r} |\nabla u| \mathrm{d}x \le 1,\tag{15}$$

(14) holds with C = 1. For $r \leq R$ satisfying

$$r^{-n+1-\alpha_0} \int_{B_r} |\nabla u| \mathrm{d}x \ge 1,$$

due to the increasing monotonicity of F(t) = G(t) - G(1)t in $t \ge 1$, it follows

$$G\left(r^{-n+1-\alpha_0}\int_{B_r}|\nabla u|\mathrm{d}x\right) \ge G(1)\cdot r^{-n+1-\alpha_0}\int_{B_r}|\nabla u|\mathrm{d}x.$$

Then we have

$$\int_{B_{r}} |\nabla u| dx \leq C r^{n-1+\alpha_{0}} (r^{1-\alpha_{0}})^{1+\delta} G \left(r^{-n} \int_{B_{r}} |\nabla u| dx \right)
\leq C r^{n-1+\alpha_{0}} \cdot (r^{1-\alpha_{0}})^{1+\delta} \frac{1}{|B_{r}|} \int_{B_{r}} G(|\nabla u|) dx
\leq C r^{n-1+\alpha_{0}+(1-\alpha_{0})(1+\delta)} \cdot r^{-n} \cdot r^{m}
= C r^{n-1+\alpha_{0}+(1-\alpha_{0})(1+\delta)+m-n}.$$
(16)

- 5 Combining (15) and (16), we may choose $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0 + (1 \alpha_0)(1 + \delta) + m n$, i.e.,
- $\alpha_0 = 1 \frac{n-m}{1+\delta}$ such that (14) holds for all $r \leq R$.
- For m=n-1, we conclude that $u\in C^{0,\alpha_0}_{loc}(\Omega)$ by Morrey Theorem (see page 30,
- 8 [12]) with $\alpha_0 = \frac{\delta}{1+\delta}$.

Note that $\inf_{B_r} u \leq \inf_{B_r} h$ (see the proof of Theorem 3.3 in [3]). Then by (5) and Lemma 2.3, we have for λ larger than $m + \alpha_0$

$$\begin{split} \int_{B_r} G(|\nabla u|) \mathrm{d}x &\leq \int_{B_r} (u-h) \mathrm{d}\mu + \int_{B_r} G(|\nabla h|) \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq (\sup_{B_r} u - \inf_{B_r} h) \mu(B_r) + \int_{B_r} G(|\nabla h|) \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq (\sup_{B_r} u - \inf_{B_r} u) \mu(B_r) + \int_{B_r} G(|\nabla h|) \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq C \mathrm{osc}(u, B_r) r^m + C r^\lambda \\ &\leq C r^{\alpha_0 + m} + C r^\lambda \\ &\leq C r^{m + \alpha_0}, \end{split}$$

where $\operatorname{osc}(u, B_r) = \sup_{B_r} u - \inf_{B_r} u$. Arguing as (14), we get $u \in C^{0,\alpha_1}_{loc}(\Omega)$ with

$$\alpha_1 = 1 - \frac{n - (m + \alpha_0)}{1 + \delta} = \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} + \frac{\alpha_0}{1 + \delta}.$$

Repeating this process, we get $u \in C^{0,\alpha_k}_{loc}(\Omega)$ with

$$\alpha_k = \frac{\delta}{1+\delta} + \frac{\alpha_{k-1}}{1+\delta}.$$

Finally, we have $\alpha_k = \frac{\alpha_0}{(1+\delta)^k} + \delta \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+\delta)^j}$, which leads to $\lim_{k\to\infty} \alpha_k = 1$, and the result follows.

(iii) If $n-1-\delta < m < n-1$, checking the proof and repeating the process as

above, we may get $\alpha_0 = 1 - \frac{n-m}{1+\delta}$, $\alpha_1 = \frac{1+\delta+m-n}{1+\delta} + \frac{\alpha_0}{1+\delta}$, ..., $\alpha_k = \frac{1+\delta+m-n}{1+\delta} + \frac{\alpha_{k-1}}{1+\delta}$. Finally, one has $u \in C^{0,\alpha}_{loc}(\Omega)$ for any $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1+\delta+m-n}{\delta})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Adams and J. J. F. Fournier, Sobolev Spaces. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Amsterdam: Acad. Press (140) 2003. 8
- [2] J. E. M. Braga and D. R. Moreira, Uniform Lipschitz regularity for classes of minimizers in 10 two phase free boundary problems in Orlicz spaces with small density on the negative phase, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéare, 31 (2014)(4), 823 – 850. 11
- S. Challal and A. Lyaghfouri, Hölder continuity of solutions to the A-Laplace equation in-12 13 volving measures, Common. Pure Appl. Anal., 8 (2009)(5), 1577 – 1583.
- S. Challal and A. Lyaghfouri, Porosity of free boundaries in A-obstacle problems, Nonlinear 14 15 Anal., 70(2009)(7), 2772 - 2778.
- S. Challal and A. Lyaghfouri, Removable Sets for A-Harmonic Functions, Z. Anal. Anwend., 16 30(2011)(4), 421 - 433.17
- 18 S. Challal, A. Lyaghfouri and J. F. Rodrigues, On the A-obstacle problem and the Hausdorff 19 measure of its free boundary, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4), 191 (2012)(1), 113 - 165.
- T. Kilpeläinen, Hölder continuity of solutions to quasilinear elliptic equations involving mea-20 21 sures, Potential Analysis, 3 (1994)(3), 265 - 272.
- T. Kilpeläinen, X. Zhong, Removable set for continuous solutions of quasilinear elliptic equa-22 23 tions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 130 (2002)(6), 1681 - 1688.
- 24 R. Leitão, O. S. de Queiroz and E. V. Teixeira, Regularity for degenerate two-phase free boundary problems, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéare, 32 (2015)(4), 741 - 762. 25
- [10] G. M. Lieberman, The natural generalization of the natural conditions of Ladyzhensaya and 26 27 Ural'tseva for elliptic equations, Comm. Partial Differ. Equ., 16 (1991)(2C3), 311-361.
- A. Lyaghfouri, Hölder continuity of p(x)-superharmonic functions, Nonlinear Anal., 73 28 (2010)(8), 2433-2444. 29

- [12] J. Malý and W. P. Ziemer, Fine Regularity of Solutions of Elliptic Partial Differential Equations, Math. Surveys Monogr. 51. Providence (RI): Amer. Math. Soc., 1997.
- [13] S. Martínez and N. Wolanski, A minimum problem with free boundary in Orlicz spaces, Adv.
 Math., 218 (2008)(6), 1914 1971.
- [14] J. Zheng, B. Feng and Z. Zhang, Regularity of solutions to the G-Laplace equation involving
 measures, Z. Anal. Anwend., 34 (2015)(2), 165 174.
- [15] J. Zheng, B. Feng and P. Zhao, Regularity of minimizers in the two-phase free boundary
 problems in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, Z. Anal. Anwend., 36 (2017) (1), 37 47.
- 9 [16] J. Zheng, Z. Zhang and P. Zhao, A minimum problem with two-phase free boundary in Orlicz spaces, *Monatsh. Math.*, 172 (2013)(3–4), 441 475.
- 11 Received xxxx 20xx; revised xxxx 20xx.
- 12 E-mail address: zhengjun2014@aliyun.com,zhengjun@swjtu.edu.cn
- 13 E-mail address: zhangyan@swjtu.edu.cn